



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,596	02/22/2002		Lee H. Grant	9119/8661	5734
25226	7590	01/09/2006		EXAMINER	
MORRISO 755 PAGE N		RSTER LLP	ROBINSON, GRETA LEE		
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-			2168	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/082,596	GRANT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Greta L. Robinson	2168			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-32 and 51 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-32 and 51 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine: The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine: The oath or declarati	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. e: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/082,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 2168

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 8, 2005 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-32 and 51 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 8, 32 and 51 have been amended. Claims 2, 7, and 33-50 are cancelled.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because of the partial views in Figures 1-3 and 5-9. Note 37 CFR 1.84(h). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the

Art Unit: 2168

drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Art Unit: 2168

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-32, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 1, 3-6, 8-32 and 51 the limitation *categories* does not appear to be clearly defined or described on the following pages. Note **page 13 lines 18-23** and **page 21 lines 4-7**. For example, the specification appears to state that the categories medical studies and scientific research are types of sexually explicit material; this appear contrary to standard definitions and is unclear with respect to category types

given on page 9 lines 6-11. Note page 9 lines 6-11 appear to separate the category government from explicit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-32 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et al. US Patent 5,933,827.

Regarding claim 1, Cole et al. teaches a method of categorizing a network page [note: "The system comprises a cataloging function which defines a hierarchy of subject

categories, logically arranges a multitude of web pages in the categories and periodically adds web pages in the categories" abstract], comprising the steps of:

providing a list of categories, wherein said list of categories include a category for transacting business and a category for providing information, and wherein said list of categories include a plurality of categories based on the copyright status of material on a page [note: "RECEIVE WEB PAGE LIST FROM CATALOGING FUNCTION" step 332 Figure 7]; and

assigning said network page to one or more said list of categories [note: abstract; column 5 lines 27-59].

Although Cole et al. teaches the invention substantially as cited, they do not explicitly teach that the categories are categories based on the copyright status of material on a page. However, Cole et al. does teach a cataloging function and profile building function that allows users to define a subject category [note: abstract "cataloging function which defines a hierarchy of subject categories" col. 2 lines 35-62; col. 4 line 18 through col. 5 line 59]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have implemented a copyright status category since Cole et al. allows for the creation of categories such as business type categories and subcategories through a cataloging function and profile building function. A copy right status category would allow uses to link to business material such as intellectual property.

- 8. Regarding claims 3-32, "said categories comprise visual, audio ... a categorization code that can be used to label a page ..." {note: Figures 3, 5 and 6; column 1 lines 1-65; column 2 lines 35-54; column 6 lines23 through column 7 line 7].
- 9. Regarding claim 51, "wherein said categories include a plurality of categories based on copyright status of material on a page..." [note: Cole et al. provides for user ability to define the category see column 4 lines 30-66; also note column 5 lines 60 through column 6 line 4].

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, 8-32 and 51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In the response Applicant argued Cole et al. does not teach the limitation of "a plurality of categories based on the copyright status of material on a page". Note in response to this argument the rejection has been changed form 35 USC 102(b) to 35 USC 103(a) citing Cole et al. Note Cole et al. teaches a cataloging function 20 within server 10 to build a database 35 of new entries. The entry may consist of a business category and a sports category (i.e. category for information) [see: column 3 line 65 through column 4 line 17]. Cole et al. provides a list of categories [see: column 4 lines 35-40; and Figure 7 step 332; also note Figures 2, 5, and 6]. Cole et al. cataloging function allows the user to define the hierarchy of a category and the profile building

Application/Control Number: 10/082,596 Page 8

Art Unit: 2168

function provides user-defined categories [note: abstract; Figure 4(a) step 220-222; col. 3 line 53 through col. 4 line 2col. 7 lines 21-59].

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Snow et al. US Patent 6,055,540

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greta L. Robinson whose telephone number is (571)272-4118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on (571)272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2168

Greta Robinson Primary Examiner January 5, 2006